

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,262	10/31/2003	Daniel C. Conrad	US19984054-5 2036		
WHIRI POOL	7590 10/12/2007 PATENTS COMPANY	EXAMINER			
Suite 102 500 Renaissance Drive St. Joseph, MI 49085			WEBB, GREGORY E		
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		10/12/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· ·		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/699,262		CONRAD ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Gregory E. W	ebb	1751				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication			orrespondence ad	dress			
Period fo	or Reply	•						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS CFR 1.136(a). In no event, It tion. period will apply and will exp y statute, cause the application	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be timpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	I. ely filed the mailing date of this co C (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1		•				
•		·] This action is non-	final.	·				
•	,—							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dienociti	on of Claims	, , ,	,					
·				•				
	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/or alastian requ	irom on t					
اـــا(ه	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requ	rement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified	copies not receive	d.				
					•			
		*						
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)	¬					

Office Action Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20070705

Application/Control Number: 10/699,262 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 4/27/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 2. The applicant has submitted an affidavit swearing behind the Flynn '390 reference claiming priority to 60/045,072 filed 04/29/1997.
- 3. The examiner agrees that the applicant has claimed priority to certain aspects of the 60/045,072 reference. However, the applicant has amended both claims and specification since this original date. Such language as it is appearing in the claims is not afforded priority to this 60/045,072 document.
- 4. For example the '072 document makes no reference to pro-perfumes a component now found in claim 1.
- 5. As the instant claims now contain language recently introduced the examiner maintains that the Flynn reference fall under a 102 (b) rejection and as such the applicant's affidavit does not overcome this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1796

7. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Flynn (US 5,827,812) or alternatively under 35 USC 103 as being obvious in view of Flynn et al and further in view of Henderson (US 2,940,287) and further in view of Williams (US 3,234,660).

- 8. These rejections are maintained for those reasons presented in the previous action.
- 9. Concerning the newly presented claims, these prior art references address each of the steps required in the newly presented claims including the contacting step, the mechanical energy step, the specific fluid required and the adjuvant as demonstrated in the previous rejection.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1796

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory E. Webb whose telephone number is 571-272-1325. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-17:30 (m-f).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglass McGinty can be reached on (571)272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gregory E. Webb Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751 Page 4

gew